National Défense
Defence nationale

Canada

[redacted]

Defence and Civil
INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE

INSTITUT DE MEDECINE ENVIRONNEMENTALE
pour la défense

1133 Sheppard Avenue West, PO Box 2000, North York, Ontario, Canada M3M 3B9
Tel. (416) 635-2000 Fax. (416) 635-2104

P147569.PDF [Page: 5 of 17]

September 1994

DCIEM No. 94-47

THERMAL RESISTANCE OF THE CHEEK IN COLD AIR

R. J. Osczevski

Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine
1133 Sheppard Avenue West, P.O. Box 2000
North York, Ontario
Canada M3M 3B9

© HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF CANADA (1994)
as represented by the Minister of National Defence

© SA MAJESTE LA REINE EN DROIT DU CANADA (1994)
Defense Nationale Canada

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract ii

Introduction..... 1

Method..... 1

Results..... 3

Discussion and Conclusions..... 3

References..... 4

P147569.PDF [Page: 9 of 17]

Abstract

Some experiments were carried out to characterize the thermal resistance of the tissues of the cheek area. Heat flow and skin temperature in cold air were measured with a heat-flux transducer. The face was uncomfortably cold when the cheek skin temperature was below 15°C and painful when the skin temperature fell below 10°C. Thermal resistance increased as skin temperature fell, reaching a maximum value of 0.07 m^2K/W at a skin temperature between 10 °C and 15 °C.

Introduction

The temperature of the skin of the face is a key factor in overall thermal comfort. Some of the body's most important thermal control mechanisms seem to be actuated or modified by the effects of the weather on the naked face (1,2). The nose, chin and cheeks are the coldest parts of the face in cold air (3). In cold winds, Stroud (4) found that the chin or the cheek was usually the coldest area. LeBlanc et al. (5) found that the cheek cooled more quickly than any other part of the face, however, the nose seems to be more susceptible to frostbite (6).

The temperature of the skin depends not only on the transfer of heat from it to the atmosphere, but on the transfer of heat to the skin from deeper tissues. Some experiments were carried out to characterize the thermal resistance of the tissues of the cheek area.

A preliminary study in 1987, which involved four male subjects aged 20 to 36 years, indicated that the thermal resistance of tissues in the cheek area increased as skin temperature fell. One of these subjects (Subject A) was examined at a greater range of skin temperatures than the others and was re-examined six years later, using similar methods. Only one, highly motivated, subject was re-examined because low temperatures caused significant and sustained discomfort.

Method

Heat flow and skin temperature were measured with a heat-flux transducer (RdF 20455-3), which contained a thermocouple. The heat flux transducer calibration was checked on a guarded hot plate at several temperatures. The electrical potentials generated by the sensor and thermocouple were measured by two Fluke 45 digital multimeters, which are capable of measuring a DC potential difference as low as one microvolt. The differential thermocouple produced a signal of approximately 40 microvolts when the temperature difference was one degree Celsius. As the heat-flux sensors have calibration constants of almost 2 W/m^2 per microvolt, a heat transfer rate of 100 W/m^2 produced as signal of over 50 microvolts. The heat flux transducer measured 1.9 cm by 3.6 cm and was almost paper thin. As in the preliminary study, it was affixed horizontally to the skin of the cheek with Blenderm tape, 3 cm below the centre of the left eye.

To determine the thermal resistance of the tissues of the cheek, the heat flux and skin-to-core temperature gradient were required. To measure the difference between the skin temperature and the internal body temperature, the thermocouple reference junction was placed under the

subject's tongue until a steady state had been reached. The reference junction was then removed, dried and placed it in the air 15 cm in front of the face, next to the bulb of a mercury-in-glass thermometer to measure the skin-to-air temperature difference. Both were shielded from direct radiation from the face. The thermometer had been calibrated at 0 °C and was capable of measuring the air temperature to 0.1 °C.

The thermal resistance of the tissues of the cheek was determined from the ratio of the heat flux to the skin-to-internal temperature difference. The skin temperature was found by adding the skin-to-air temperature difference to the air temperature.

The subject sat on a stool with his upper body inside an insulated enclosure. The air temperature in the enclosure was controlled to 0.1 °C, and was varied between -30 °C and 33 °C. The enclosure was a temporary antechamber attached to a Thermotron S-8 environmental chamber. It was insulated with 5 cm of extruded styrofoam building insulation. Although the air temperature was controlled to ±0.1°C, turbulence caused the skin temperature and heat flux to vary about a mean value even after an approximate steady state had been reached. The mean value was determined by averaging over a period of about five minutes.

A thin dividing wall of air permeable fabric separated the antechamber from the main chamber. The fabric greatly reduced the wind speed and turbulence in the antechamber and shielded the face from direct exchange of thermal radiation with the cold surface of the heat exchange unit in the main part of the chamber. The temperatures of the inner surfaces of the antechamber were within a few degrees of the air temperature.

Because the rate of air movement in the chamber varied with location, the subject's head could not move during the experiment. It rested against blocks of rigid foam in one corner of the chamber. The subject did not speak and tried not to use his facial muscles during the exposure. Exposures lasted a minimum of forty minutes in mild temperatures and at least seventy-five minutes at the lowest temperatures.

Results

Tissue resistances at various cheek temperatures are presented in Figure 1. The values in Figure 1 are averages over a period of about five minutes at the end of each exposure.

The apparent thermal resistance of the cheek increased with a decrease in skin temperature and reached a maximum value of about 0.07 m^2K/W

when the skin temperature was between 10 °C and 15 °C. On inspection of the graph, the variation of thermal resistance at skin temperatures greater than 15 °C appears to be less than ± 0.01 m^2 K/W. Greater variation is apparent at lower skin temperatures. There was no apparent change in the thermal resistance of the cheek of the more intensively studied subject over the period of six years.



Fig. 1. Thermal resistance of the cheek.

Discussion and Conclusions

The number of subjects was small, and was limited to a single subject at the lowest skin temperatures. However, the results are consistent with the observations of others. The face was uncomfortably cold when the cheek temperature was below 15 °C and painful when the skin temperature fell below 10 °C. LeBlanc et al (5) observed a similar sharp decrease in comfort when the temperature of facial skin dropped below 15 °C.

The maximum value of cheek thermal resistance is close to the 0.068 m^2K/W for the whole head, obtained by Froese and Burton (7). They did not notice any variation in thermal resistance with temperature, however the

cheeks are such a small part of the total area of the head that they might not have been able to detect changes in their thermal resistance.

The scatter in the data below 10 °C may be due to vasodilation induced by the cold. As the cold skin was sometimes intensely irritating, the stress may have increased the metabolic rate. The temperature of the skin of the cheek, has been observed to be higher at higher metabolic rates (8). The thermal resistance of the cheek of an active individual is therefore probably lower. This information will be useful in predicting frostbite and in modelling heat transfer from the face in cold winds.

References

1. BURTON, A. C. and O. G. EDHOLM 1955. Man in a Cold Environment, Edwin Arnold Ltd., London.

2. MAIDMENT, G. 1994. Human thermoregulation in the cold -- a review, RAF IAM Report 765.

3. EDWARDS, M. and A. C. BURTON 1960. Temperature distribution over the human head, especially in the cold. J. Applied Physiol., **15**, 209-211.

4. STROUD, M. 1990. Effects on energy expenditure of facial cooling during exercise, R.A.F. Inst. Aviation Med. Report 698.

5. LEBLANC, J., B. BLAIS, B. BARABÉ and J. CÔTÉ 1976. Effects of temperature and wind on facial temperature, heart rate and sensation, J. Applied Physiol. Vol. 40, 2, p 127-131.

6. SIPLE, P. and C. PASSEL 1945. Measurements of dry atmospheric cooling in subfreezing temperatures, Proceedings of the Am. Phil. Soc., **89**, 1, 177-199.

7. FROESE, G. and A. BURTON 1957. Heat losses from the human head. J. Appl. Physiol. **10**, no.2, p 235-241.

8. BEYNON, A. D. 1973. Effects of an Antarctic environment on dental structures and health, in: Polar Human Biology, O. G. Edholm and E. Gunderson editors, W. Heinemann Medical Books Ltd. Chichester U.K.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM
(highest classification of Title, Abstract, Keywords)

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)

1. ORIGINATOR (the name and address of the organization preparing the document. Organizations for whom the document was prepared, e.g. Establishment sponsoring a contractor's report, or tasking agency, are entered in section 12.)

Defence and Civil Institute of Environmental Medicine.

2. DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (overall security classification of the document. including special warning terms if applicable)

Unclassified

3. DOCUMENT TITLE (the complete document title as indicated on the title page. Its classification should be indicated by the appropriate abbreviation (S,C,R or U) in parentheses after the title.)

The thermal resistance of the cheek in cold air (U)

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (the category of the document, e.g. technical report, technical note or memorandum. If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.)

DCIEM research report

5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank, e.g. Doe, Maj. John E.)

Randall J. Osczevski

6. DOCUMENT DATE (month and year of publication of document)

7a. NO. OF PAGES (total containing information. Include Annexes, Appendices, etc.)

7

7b. NO. OF REFS (total cited in document)

8

8a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO. (if appropriate, the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written. Please specify whether project or grant)

051CE

8b. CONTRACT NO. (if appropriate, the applicable number under which the document was written)

9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER (the official document number by which the document is identified by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.)

9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO.(S) (Any other numbers which may be assigned this document either by the originator or by the sponsor)

10. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY (any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification)

(X) Unlimited distribution
( ) Distribution limited to defence departments and defence contractors; further distribution only as approved
( ) Distribution limited to defence departments and Canadian defence contractors; further distribution only as approved
( ) Distribution limited to government departments and agencies; further distribution only as approved
( ) Distribution limited to defence departments; further distribution only as approved
( ) Other

11. ANNOUNCEMENT AVAILABILITY (any limitation to the bibliographic announcement of this document. This will normally correspond to the Document Availabilty (10). However, where further distribution (beyond the audience specified in 10) is possible, a wider announcement audience may be selected.)

12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY (the name of the department project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include the address.)

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM

13. ABSTRACT (a brief and factual summary of the document. It may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall begin with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (S), (C), (R), or (U). It is not necessary to include here abstracts in both offical languages unless the text is bilingual).

(U) Some experiments were carried out to characterize the thermal resistance of the tissues of the cheek area. Heat flow and skin temperature in cold air were measured with a heat-flux transducer. the face was uncomfortably cold when the cheek skin temperature was below 15 C and painful when the skin temperature fell below 10 C. Thermal resistance increased as skin temperature fell, reaching a maximum of 0.07 m^2K/W at a skin temperature between 10 C and 15 C. (C)

14. KEYWORDS, DESCRIPTORS or IDENTIFIERS (technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloguing the document. They should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location may also be included. If possible, keywords should be selected from a published thesaurus. e.g. Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms (TEST) and that thesaurus identified. If it is not possible to select indexing terms which are Unclassified, the classification of each should be indicated as with the title.)

Cold weather
Face
Head
Skin Temperature
Thermal Physiology
Thermal Resistance
Heat Loss

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM

SAMPLE PRINT OF FORM DCD03A